#### **Chair's Statement**

# for inclusion in the 31 Dec 2021 accounts

A number of governance rules apply to defined contribution pension arrangements like the Gega Lotz Limited Pension and Life Assurance Scheme (the "Scheme"). These are designed to help members achieve a good outcome from their pension savings. The Trustee is required to provide a yearly statement which explains what steps have been taken by the Trustee, with help from its professional advisers, to meet these governance standards. This Chair's statement for the Gega Lotz Limited Pension and Life Assurance Scheme ('the Scheme') covers the period from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2021.

# **Background**

- The scheme was set up by a deed of Trust on 17 June 1988
- It is a defined contribution ('DC') scheme
- Each individual member's fund was (until 5 April 1997) subject to a defined benefit underpin ('DB underpin')
- The underpin provided that in respect of pre 5 April 1997 benefits at retirement, the member would receive a pension that was the greater of:
  - o that purchased by their individual pot, and
  - o the amount guaranteed by the DB underpin
- On 5 April 1997 the DB underpin was discontinued
- Members retained the DB underpin for all pre 1997 service
- The Scheme closed to new entrants on 5 April 2006
- The Scheme was closed to future contributions on 5 April 2006
- There are now three distinct elements to the scheme:
  - 1. Pensioners: pensions are paid directly from the Scheme
  - 2. Deferred members: with pre 97 benefits DC with DB underpin
  - 3. Deferred members: with post 97 benefits DC only
- Some deferred members have both pre and post 1997 benefits
- Due to the pensions being paid by the Scheme and the fact that the DB underpin is providing benefits greater than those that could be provided by individual DC pots, the first two elements (shown as 1 & 2 above) of the scheme are treated as a defined benefit ('DB') scheme. This is therefore referred to as the 'DB Section.' There is a small amount in the DB section that is made up of DC AVCs
- The third element (3) is a DC scheme and is referred to as the 'DC section'

# **Contributions**

# **DC** section

- The scheme does not receive any DC contributions. It closed on 5 April 2006
- No money is being contributed to individual DC pots in the DC Section

# **DB Section**

 A funding plan is in place that requires employer contributions of £95,000 per year being paid from 22 May 2018 to 31 December 2025. These contributions are required to fund the benefits provided by the underpin and pensions in payment

#### **Auto enrolment**

• The scheme is not used for auto-enrolment and is not subject to the charge cap

### **Default Investment Strategy**

The scheme does not have a default investments strategy (this is not a requirement as the Scheme
has not received any member contributions since 6 April 2006 and is not used as the employer's
auto enrolment scheme); as a consequence it does not have a Statement of Investment Principles

### **Review of Investment Arrangements**

- The Trustee conducted a review of the investment strategy and this was implemented in 2021 in respect of sections 1 and 2 (above). This resulted in the transfer of monies from Novia to Legal and General Investment Management ('LGIM') on 10 August 2021. These monies are now invested in three separate LGIM policies:
  - o policy 37349/001 Gega Lotz Ltd Pre 97 assets
  - o policy 37349/000 Gega Lotz (DB Section)
  - o policy 37349/001 Gega Lotz Ltd AVC
- There are some other small AVCs with Phoenix Life in policies 22069 and 22017
- The Trustee has not been able to implement a new DC strategy due to limitations of the administration function and complexities concerning members with various pension protections
- At the time of writing, the DC section remains with Scottish Widows with 100% of monies invested
  in a cash fund via a Scottish Widows Institutional Investment Bond ('IIB'). Scottish Widows are
  restricting such investments going forward and have requested that the Trustee moves the funds
  elsewhere
- This request has significant implications for the future costs of running the scheme
- The Trustee is investigating workable options and is in discussions with the employer over budgets for operating the scheme
- Requirements were introduced from 5 October 2021 that are set out in the Occupational Pension Schemes (Administration, Investment, Charges and Governance) (Amendment) Regulations 2021 ("the 2021 Regulations"). These require the Trustee to conduct a holistic assessment of how their scheme delivers value for members. In accordance with legislation, the Trustee will conduct this holistic review in relation to the year ending 31 December 2022

## **Core financial transactions**

The Trustee is required to report about the processes and controls in place in relation to the "core financial transactions" (for example, transferring assets related to members out of the Scheme or making payments from the Scheme to or on behalf of members). The Trustee must ensure that these important financial transactions are processed promptly and accurately.

- The scheme is closed to future contributions; there are only a limited number of transactions:
  - The employer pays recovery contributions; these are used to provide the DB underpin and to fund pensions in payment. The payments are received from the employer into the Trustee Bank Account and are reconciled by the Trustee on a monthly basis
  - Pensions are paid by Mercer
  - o Benefits are settled by Mercer either as retirement benefits or transfers out of the scheme
- All core financial transactions are monitored by the Trustee and Mercer in compliance with agreed Service Level Agreements (SLAs). Mercer's internal SLAs are:
  - Queries and general correspondence 10 working days
  - Transactions involving payments 5 working days

- All transactions are subject to audit by the Scheme Auditors
- There were no issues during the year and the Trustee is of the opinion that core financial transactions are processed promptly and accurately

# **Charge levels**

• The effect of scheme charges is different for each part of the Scheme.

Fund Name	Charge p.a.
Scottish Widows Cash Pension Fund	1%
	See notes 1 and 2
Other Scottish Widows Funds	0.75%
Novia Funds	0.75%
	See note 3
LGIM Funds	0.25% for the Multi-Asset Fund
	0.5% for the Dynamic Diversified Fund
	See note 4

- Note 1: This invests into the Aberdeen Standard Liquidity fund. Aberdeen Standard Investments calculate and provide the transaction costs for this fund.
- Note 2: The effective annual management charge on the cash fund is 0.75% p.a.; 1% p.a. is reflected in the daily unit prices, with a rebate of 0.021% being added to members' unit holdings each month.
- Note 3: The Novia platform holds a range of investment funds. The stated charge is 0.75% per annum. Some
  of the funds are structured products. These products have no ongoing fund charge as the costs are borne
  within the structure of the benefits offered. The trustee has been unable to find a way of accurately
  calculating a charge figure including these costs. All funds were transferred out of Novia and reinvested with
  LGIM on 10 August 2021
- Note 4: All LGIM fees are borne by the scheme and not by members. At outset, the funds were invested 50/50
  in two funds giving an effective charge of 0.375% this will vary as the fund values change. In addition the
  scheme bears a £1,500 flat charge from LGIM

# **DB Section**

- All DB section funds are now with LGIM
- The costs of investing in LGIM funds are borne by the Scheme

# **DC Section**

• Post 1997 funds are invested in the Scottish Widows Cash Pension Fund and are subject to a member borne charge of 0.75% per annum

### **Transaction costs**

## **DB Section**

- All transaction costs are borne by the Scheme
- The pre 97 funds held by the scheme, whilst described as being in the DB section, are technically DC funds with a DB underpin. This section is treated as a DB scheme and all investment costs are borne by the scheme. The Trustee has taken the pragmatic approach that these costs are therefore immaterial to members DC benefits. In the opinion of the Trustee, these costs will remain immaterial even in the unlikely event that the DB underpin did not bite, as the investment costs of the underlying DC funds have been borne by the Scheme and not by the member

#### DC Section

• The Trustee requested details of transaction costs for the DC funds held in the Scottish Widows Cash Pension Fund. These were disclosed as 1 basis point (0.01%) per annum. A basis point is 1/100 of 1%.

### Value for money

- The Trustee does not believe that the current arrangements for pure DC benefits represent good value for members
- All monies are invested in the Scottish Widows Institutional Investment Bond ('IIB') the only option is the Cash fund
- The IIB has an effective management charge of 0.75% pa. The underlying fund charge is 1.0% but Scottish Widows apply a 0.25% rebate meaning that the effective charge is 0.75% pa
- The return to members is therefore the sum of:
  - o the initial investment + plus any investment return charges
- As investment returns are driven largely by short term interest rates these have been less than the charges, resulting in a decreasing fund value
- Examples of investment returns are shown in Appendix 1 and a worked example using actual fund values in Appendix 2

# Cumulative effect over time of the relevant costs and charges

- The Trustee has not been able to obtain illustrations of the cumulative effect of the relevant costs and charges beyond those shown in Appendix 1
- In practice, the practical implications of the current investment in the IIB are that, until such time short term interest rates generate sufficient investment return to negate the effect of charges, members funds will go down year-on-year
- As at the time of writing, Scottish Widows are trying to change the IIB and have requested that the Trustee disinvest from this investment vehicle
- The Trustee is actively considering this but is mindful of the possible consequences of this action on the bundled fee agreement with Scottish Widows
- The Trustee will update the illustrative example of charges included in Appendix 1 further as and when more data becomes available and will make this available on a website. In practice we expect this only to be possible if investments are moved away from the IIB

# Trustee response to the value for money assessment

- As stated above, the Trustee does not believe that the current arrangements for pure DC benefits represent good value for members
- This position has persisted for several years. The Trustee is aware of this and have been looking at various options to improve value for members
- One of the key issues is that the scheme is very small and it is difficult to see how any internal restructure of the scheme will produce a sustainable scheme that offers members the sort of value they could obtain in a modern well run scheme that offers the benefits of scale
- The current Scheme has the additional issue that it does not provide members with access to the pension flexibilities introduced by the pension freedoms in 2015
- The obvious option is therefore to look to transfer benefits DC benefits out of the Scheme into a pension arrangement that provides better value for money and better member options

- There is a problem in doing this in that the Trustee believes that a transfer may be detrimental to many of the members. This is because it would:
  - Disrupt their ability to take their whole scheme Pension Commencement Lump Sum ('PCLS') from their DC fund: this is a valuable option that is extremely efficient for members with DC funds (nearly all members)
  - Potentially, reduce the overall amount of tax free PCLS that the member can draw from the Scheme
  - Negate protected PCLS sums that are greater than 25% of total benefits (available to some members)
- The value of these options is significant and their loss could well have a greater impact on the members retirement outcome than the opportunity cost of being invested in the IIB. This is particularly true for those close to retirement who would in all probability be invested conservatively and not seeking significant investment returns from their DC funds
- The Trustee has been actively considering these issues and has been investigating the option of transferring the DC funds to an authorised DC MasterTrust. This would give members access to a wide range of well governed funds, a default arrangement and the ability to use the pension freedoms to draw benefits
- Some Master Trusts will allow members to transfer back their DC funds at the point of retirement, so they can use these to fund their PCLS. This is a particularly useful option and gets round one of the problems listed above
- There is however no precedent as regards whether this 'transfer back' option will allow members to retain their pension protections. The Trustee has been discussing this with the Scheme's lawyers and it appears that the Scheme is not alone in having this issue. Another much larger scheme is seeking to achieve much the same thing. It appears that the way legislation is drafted means that members may be able to retain their protections
- The Trustees are however also aware that there are members approaching retirement who they
  expect will be using the current available flexibilities and have concluded that they should not
  proceed with changes that could be detrimental to these retiring members given the current levels
  of uncertainty as to how the retirement process would operate for the remaining deferred
  members
- It is the Trustees intention to pursue this issue and, given the current advice, it looks likely that a transfer of the DC benefits to an authorised Master Trust may well be the most appropriate integrated approach
- The issue is further complicated by the status of the DB section. The funding level of this part of
  the scheme has improved and it is possible that a buy-out of DB liabilities could be achieved. The
  Trustee has raised this with the employer
- A buy out of DB liabilities would be attractive in that it would secure member pensions both deferred and in payment with an insurance company – this would improve the overall security of member benefits
- A buy-out would have implications for the DC section which would now, on a stand alone basis, make the scheme uneconomic to manage as a standalone trust. This would have to be resolved
- The Trustee wrote to the Employer in June 2022 outlining many of these issues and is aiming to
  obtain the required budgets to obtain the necessary advice and implement appropriate changes
  to the scheme

# **Trustee Knowledge and Understanding**

• Capital Cranfield Pension Trustees Limited are professional trustees. Both the company and the lead client director – Jonathan Reynolds – are members of the Association of Professional Pension

### Gega Lotz Limited Pension and Life Assurance Scheme

Trustees ('APPT') and adhere to the continuous professional development requirements of the Association of Professional Pension Trustees ('APPT'). Jonathan Reynolds provides his CPD record to both Capital Cranfield Pension Trustees Limited and the APPT. His CPD hours over the past 3 years are as follows:

2019
 46 Hours including 44 hours of structured CPD
 2020
 46 Hours including 29 hours of structured CPD
 2021
 43 Hours including 20 hours of structured CPD

Jonathan's CPD has included the following content that is relevant to Trusteeship in general and to both Defined Contribution and Defined Benefit Schemes:

Provider	Topic
SPB	Pensions Act 2021
Aon	Cyber protection
Reddington	Responsible Investing
CCPTL	Sole Trusteeship Code
LCP	Covid and its impact on life expectancy
E-S	Combatting pension scams
BW	New single code of practice
CCPTL	Diversity and Inclusion
XPS	Pensions dashboards
LCP	Value for Money and DC consolidation
WTW	Climate Change and energy transition
Aon	New transfer regulations

- Tony Russell provides day-to-day client management
- Support and back up is provided by Tova Docherty. She is accredited by the APPT as a Professional Trustee and is an Associate of the Pensions Management Institute ('PMI'), adhering to the continuous professional development requirements of Capital Cranfield Pension Trustees Ltd, the APPT and the PMI.
- The Trustee has a working knowledge of the Trust Deed and Rules and key Scheme documentation; in particular, these documents were extensively reviewed as part of the investigation into the extent of the DB underpin.
- The Trustee also has an extensive knowledge and understanding of the law relating to pensions and the principles relating to the investment of Scheme assets, which enables it to properly exercise their functions as Trustee of the Scheme.
- The scheme employs professional advisers and service providers as detailed at the top of page 2, and the Trustee seeks professional advice as required to assist with the correct running of the Scheme

Signed by Jonathan Reynolds on 29/06/2022

For and on behalf of Capital Cranfield Pension Trustees Limited

Sole Trustee of the Gega Lotz Limited Pension and Life Assurance Scheme

**Appendix 1**(Illustration only)

	TOTAL CONTS	FUND VALUE (1)	CHARGES	INVESTMENT	CLOSING	CHARGES A
YEAR	TO DATE	+ INVEST RETURN	TO DATE	RETURN LOSS	FUND VALUE	FUND VA
1	£1,000.00	£985.37	£7.40	£0.00	£977.97	TONDVA
5	£5,000.00	£4,784.75	£104.18	£1.39	£4,679.18	
10	£10,000.00	£9,229.52	£353.66	£10.53	£8,865.34	
15	£15,000.00	£13,358.44	£714.97	£33.12	£12,610.35	
20	£20,000.00	£17,193.91	£1,160.29	£72.93	£15,960.69	
25	£25,000.00	£20,756.86	£1,666.59	£132.26	£18,958.00	
30	£30,000.00	£24,066.65	£2,214.92	£212.24	£21,639.48	
2.5% GR	OWTH					
2.370 01	TOTAL CONTS	FUND VALUE (1)	CHARGES	INVESTMENT	CLOSING	CHARGES A
YEAR		+ INVEST RETURN	TO DATE	RETURN LOSS	FUND VALUE	FUND VA
1	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£7.50	£0.00	£992.50	TOND V
5	£5,000.00	£5,000.03	£107.81	£3.58	£4,888.63	
10	£10,000.00	£10,000.09	£375.03	£28.33	£9,596.73	
15	£15,000.00	£15,000.13	£776.79	£92.47	£14,130.87	
20	£20,000.00	£20,000.13	£1,291.36	£211.29	£18,497.48	
25	£25,000.00	£25,000.16	£1,899.74	£397.62	£22,702.79	
30	£30,000.00	£30,000.21	£2,585.36	£662.07	£26,752.78	
4% GRO	WTH					
	TOTAL CONTS	FUND VALUE (1)	CHARGES	INVESTMENT	CLOSING	CHARGES A
YEAR	TO DATE	+ INVEST RETURN	TO DATE	RETURN LOSS	<b>FUND VALUE</b>	FUND VA
1	£1,000.00	£1,014.63	£7.61	£0.00	£1,007.02	
5	£5,000.00	£5,223.86	£111.56	£5.92	£5,106.38	
10	£10,000.00	£10,841.35	£397.89	£48.78	£10,394.69	
15	£15,000.00	£16,882.06	£845.36	£165.35	£15,871.35	
20	£20,000.00	£23,377.87	£1,442.21	£392.64	£21,543.03	
25	£25,000.00	£30,363.13	£2,178.25	£768.13	£27,416.75	
30	£30,000.00	£37,874.70	£3,044.73	£1,330.27	£33,499.71	
All Figures	Above Are Expre	ssed In Today's Mon	etary Values As	suming Inflation At	2.5%	
				suming no charges		
INVESTMENT RETURN LOSS This shows the in						e charges a
		This shows the actual fund value after all charges are applied.				
	<b>CONTRIBUTIONS</b> The annual contribution of £1,000 is assumed to increase each year by inflation.					

# Appendix 2

The Trustee conducted a review of the available data and was able to compare net fund values between 11 December 2020 and 11 December 2021.

No additional monies were added to the individual pots during this time. Therefore, the change in the value is attributable entirely to the investment return minus the charges levied on the fund.

The following figures are derived from actual fund values.

	FUND VALUE 11/12/20 £	FUND VALUE 11/12/21 £	NET RETURN
Example 1	21435.18	21284.62	-0.72%
Example 2	12752.89	12663.38	-0.72%
Example 3	8054.74	7998.18	-0.72%